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Abstract—Vehicular Ad hoc network (VANET) is researched for public safety of vehicles. Safety applications exchange the safety messages among I2V (Infrastructure to Vehicle) and V2V (Vehicle to Vehicle) in VANETs. As several safety applications are running and generating periodic messages on a vehicle, they consume a sizable resources on communication channel. Several organizations identify the high-priority safety applications and recommend safety message generating patterns. These safety messages are carried by WAVE (Wireless Access in Vehicular Environment) and 802.11p standards. We analyze the theoretical maximum vehicle number when the high-priority safety applications are running on vehicles on highly congested communication channel condition. The analysis shows that a few dozen of vehicles can communicate with each other even without any collision on the communication channel. It means that the transmission mechanism of safety messages must be improved to reduce the generated data rate and EDCA mechanism should be improved without changing 802.11p standard. We propose two simple mechanisms. One is used to reduce the transmission data rate and the other for EDCA improvement. Even with these improvements, one hundred vehicles can be accommodated on the 10MHz control channel allocated by US FCC.
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I. INTRODUCTION

VANETs become an interesting research area after wireless communication technologies have been rapidly developed since US FCC (United States Federal Communication Commission) allocated 75MHz bandwidth for DSRC (Dedicated Short-Range Communication) in 5.9GHz frequency area [1]. IEEE releases WAVE (Wireless Access in Vehicular Environment) standards [2] and the 802.11p standard [3] for the service and application running on DSRC.

In WAVE, MAC (Medium Access Control) is different with the original 802.11 MAC in having MAC extension for multichannel of CCH (Control Channel) and SCH (Service Channel). 802.11p standard defines the methods of data exchange without the need to establish a BSS (Basic Service Set) and to wait for the association so that vehicles and infrastructures can communicate each other in short time.

NHTSA (National Highway Traffic Safety Administration), USDOT (US Department of Transportation) and CAMP (Crash Avoidance Metrics Partnership) VSCC (Vehicle Safety Communication Consortium) selected 8 high priority applications among many of safety applications [4]. Transmitted data of high-priority safety applications are described in Table I. SAE (Society of Automotive Engineers) defined the message set exchanged in DSRC range as a standard named SAE J2735 [5]. Safety application composes the data frame and data element of DSRC message set then sends them to I2V and V2V directions.

Safety applications mainly use CCH following the priority based EDCA (Enhanced Distributed Channel Access) mechanism described in IEEE 802.11 standard. The default parameter set for each AC (Access Category) in CCH is guided in IEEE 802.11p. FCC sets a policy of the safety message based on the priority. Proposed Message Priorities are described in DSRC Implementation Guide [6].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Comm. Type</th>
<th>Freq.</th>
<th>Data transmitted</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic signal violation (TSV)</td>
<td>I2V, one-way, P2M</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
<td>single status, timing, surface, heading, light position, weather</td>
<td>250 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curve speed warning (CSW)</td>
<td>I2V, one-way, P2M</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
<td>curve location, curvature, speed limit, bank, surface</td>
<td>200 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency brake lights (EBL)</td>
<td>V2V, two-way, P2M</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
<td>position, deceleration, heading, velocity</td>
<td>200 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-crash sensing (PCS)</td>
<td>V2V, two-way, P2M</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
<td>vehicle type, yaw rate, position, heading, accel.</td>
<td>50 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collision warning (CW)</td>
<td>V2V, two-way, P2M</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
<td>vehicle type, position, heading, velocity, acceleration, yaw rate</td>
<td>150 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left turn assist (LTA)</td>
<td>I2V and V2V, one-way, P2M</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
<td>signal status, timing, position, direction, road geom., velocity, heading</td>
<td>300 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane change warning (LCW)</td>
<td>V2V, one-way, P2M</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
<td>position, heading, velocity, acceleration, turn signal status</td>
<td>150 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop sign assist (SSA)</td>
<td>I2V and V2V, one-way, P2M</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
<td>position, velocity, heading, warning</td>
<td>300 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. WAVE PROTOCOL SACKS

DSRC uses the layered protocol architecture based on the IEEE 802.11p and IEEE 1609 family standards. DSRC protocol above layer 2 divides into two stacks. General application and service can use both traditional protocols (UDP, TCP and IPv6) and new protocol (WAVE Short Message Protocol). Otherwise, safety applications in vehicular device should use WSMP defined by IEEE 1609.3
to support safety message efficiently. WSMP is simple, efficient and specifically customized in the vehicular environment instead of layer 3 and 4 Internet protocols. The logical link control (LLC) sub-layer protocol stack uses IEEE 802.2 standard. LLC protocol frequently uses Subnetwork Access Protocol (SNAP) to identify the protocol associated with the payload of upper layer. DSRC MAC and PHY are defined in IEEE 802.11p. Especially, Multi-Channel Operation protocol is newly added in MAC to support multiple channels. This multi-channel operation concept is defined in IEEE 1609.4 standard. Fig. 1 shows the layered architecture for DSRC.

Fig. 1. WAVE protocol stack.

**III. SAFETY MESSAGE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>DF, Heading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>The current heading of the vehicle, expressed in units of unsigned degrees from North (such that 32767 such degrees represent 359.98900 degrees). North shall be defined as the axis defined by the WSG-84 coordinate system and its reference ellipsoid. Headings &quot;to the east&quot; are defined as the positive direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>2 byte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASN.1 Representation</td>
<td>Heading ::= INTEGER (0..32767) -- LSB of 0.010986328 degrees</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| XML Representation | `<xs:simpleType name="Heading" >
  <xs:annotation>
    <xs:documentation>
      LSB of 0.010986328 degrees
    </xs:documentation>
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:restriction base="xs:unsignedShort">
        <xs:maxInclusive value="32767"/>
      </xs:restriction>
    </xs:simpleType>` |
| Used by | DF, DF_FullPositionVector, DF, DF_Intersection, DF, DF_UpdateVector, MSG, MSG_BasicSafetyMessage_Verbose |

SAE J2735 identifies over 150 Data element for DSRC message in dictionary. Data Elements are the smallest divisions of information content to be standardized. Data Frames are the next level of data structure including the several Data Elements. Combination of Data Frames and Data Elements becomes the Messages. Data Element dictionary in SAE J2735 defines a standard name, description, expressed unit, size, ASN.1 and XML Representations, and other data structure using it. Table II shows an example Data Element.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifier</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>End-of-contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>octets (Type)</td>
<td>octets (Length)</td>
<td>octets (Value)</td>
<td>octets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When we look up all Data elements used in the high priority safety applications, 1 byte is enough for Identifier octets because all of them are using universal identifier tags such as INTEGER, ENUMERATED and BIT STRING. In case of Length octet, the value of Data elements are defined in the actual range and it is smaller than 128 bytes. The length octets need just 1 byte as short definite form. The byte-aligned primitive value of the Data element follows after length octets. End-of-contents octets can be omitted because we do not use the indefinite length form.

This encoded stream of bytes becomes the payload of lower layer named WAVE Short Message (WSM).

**A. Safety Message Size**

As we already described in Table I, the transmitted data of high-priority safety applications are defined based on their characteristics. This data is composed by application which selects the Data Elements, Data Frames and Messages. We can calculate the total size of WSM Data used by application since SAE J2735 pre-defines the data size in its dictionary. C.L. Robinson [7] analyzed the Data Elements usage in the eight NHTSA-defined high priority safety applications. We calculate the total encoded data size of each application and each communication type. Table IV shows the Data Elements, usage and size of data in high-priority safety applications. The encoded WSM data size used in single safety application is 456 to 968 bits depending on the application. It becomes up to 1,408 bits at PHY layer as PSDU after the encapsulation process. This data is sent out periodically based on the application’s transmission and receive frequency and latency.
### B. Safety Message Encapsulation

DSRC device in VANETs should encapsulate the safety message from application layer to PHY layer. Each layer of WAVE protocol architecture attaches the protocol header in order to compliance with the current layer protocol.

Application selects the message set, data frames and data elements for its purpose from SAE J2735 DSRC message dictionary. Safety application’s required data are described in Table I. Those messages are composed and encoded as WAVE Short Message (WSM) format and become the WSMP payload.

Packet using WSMP has the minimum 8 bytes size header including 1 byte WSMP version, 4 bytes Provider service identifier (PSID), 1 byte WSM element ID, and 2 bytes WSM length. If the application wants to put 3 bytes channel number, 3 bytes data rate and 3 bytes transmit power used, the extension fields are added in WSMP header. In this paper, we count 17 bytes WSMP header because the safety applications are using CCH and the CCH carries data packets in WSMP format.

WSMP data packets should be encapsulated in LLC along with SNAP header. LLC header includes 1 byte Destination Service Access Point (DSAP) address, 1 byte Source Service Access Point (SSAP) address and 1 byte control field. In case of SNAP, it requires 5 bytes header. The first 3 bytes are the identifier of private or public registry and the last 2 bytes are the indicator of Ether Type such as IPv6 and WSMP. LLC layer delivers the encapsulated packets to WAVE MAC layer as MAC service data unit (MSDU) after adding 17 bytes WSMP header, 5 bytes SNAP header and 3 bytes LLC header upon the original application’s WSM Data.

DSRC MAC frame consists of a MAC header, MSDU and Frame check sequence (FCS). Most common MAC header includes 2 bytes Frame control, 2 bytes Duration, 2 bytes Sequence control, 2 bytes QoS (Quality of Service) control, and 18 bytes for 3 addresses of Transmitting station address, Receiving station address and Source address. MAC layer sends the encapsulated packet with 26 bytes MAC header and 4 bytes FCS to DSRC PHY layer as a Physical layer service data unit (PSDU).

DSRC PHY creates a physical layer protocol data unit (PPDU) to transmit it to air link. PPDU consists of 12 bytes physical layer convergence procedure (PLCP) preamble, 5 bytes PLCP header including SIGNAL symbol and Service field, MSDU, 6 bits TAIL and various bits PAD. The data rate of PPDU is encoded in SIGNAL field which is always sent at the lowest data rate (3Mbps in a 10MHz channel). It means that PLCP preamble and SIGNAL consumes always same time of 40μs regardless of the data rate in SIGNAL field. Otherwise, the rest of PPDU after SIGNAL is encoded at indicated data rate in SIGNAL field.

Once the data rate is fixed by SIGNAL field, PAD varies from 2 to 402 bits because the length of the entire PPDU must be an integer multiple of bits per OFDM (Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing). Fig. 2 shows the message encapsulation process.

![Fig. 2. Message encapsulation process.](image)
encapsulation process. Table V shows the data rate and PLCP overhead in a DSRC 10 MHz channel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data rate (Mbps)</th>
<th>Preamble (μs)</th>
<th>SIGNAL (μs)</th>
<th>Service, TAIL, the longest PAD (μs)</th>
<th>Total PLCP overhead (μs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE V: DATA RATE AND PLCP OVERHEAD IN A 10 MHz DSRC CHANNEL

IV. THE CHANNEL ALLOCATION TIME AND THE UPPER LIMITS OF VEHICLES IN VANETS

A. EDCA Mechanism and Parameters on the CCH

DSRC MAC follows the priority based EDCA (Enhanced Distributed Channel Access) mechanism described in IEEE 802.11 standard. EDCA waits for Arbitrary Inter Frame Space (AIFS).

AIFS[AC]=SIFS+AIFS×aSlotTime

where SIFS is Short Inter Frame Space, AIFS[AC] is AIFS Number based on Access Category.

If the medium is idle after AIFS [AC], the application can take the transmission opportunity (TXOP). Application having TXOP transmits the packet during the Packet transmission time $T_{tx}$:

$$T_{tx} = \frac{PSDU\text{ size}}{\text{Data rate}}$$

where PSDU is Physical layer service data unit (MAC frame size) in bits. Data rate is the transmission data rate.

If the medium is busy after AIFS[AC], the application does back-off to take the TXOP according to the contention window minimum size (CWmin[AC]):

Backoff Interval=[0⋯CWmin[AC]]×aSlotTime

where $[0⋯CW_{\text{min}[AC]}]$ is a random number between 0 and CWmin[AC].

Table VI shows the default parameter set for each AC (Access Category) of CCH guided in IEEE 802.11p.

| AC      | CWmin | CWmax | AIFS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Back-ground</td>
<td>aCWmin</td>
<td>aCWmax</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>aCWmin + 1/2-1</td>
<td>aCWmax</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>aCWmin + 1/4-1</td>
<td>aCWmax</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>aCWmin + 1/4-1</td>
<td>aCWmax</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VI: CONTENTION WINDOW SIZE AND AIFS/N BASED ON AC

Other parameter values are listed in Table VII.

Proposed Message Priorities are described in DSRC Implementation Guide – A guide to users of SAE J2735 message sets over DSRC. Message Priority is set by Importance Level from FCC Policy. In case of level 1 (Safety of Life), those messages and message sets requiring immediate or urgent transmission are using AC1 3 and CCH. The message sets used in 8 high-priority applications are also using AC1 3. Consequently, AIFSN[AC] for AIFS is 2 and CWmin[AC] for back off interval is a random number between 0 and 3.

TABLE VII: EDCA PARAMETER SET USED ON THE CCH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Value</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>802.11p (802.11a modification) slot time</td>
<td>13μs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIFS</td>
<td>32μs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aCWmin</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aCWmax</td>
<td>1023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. I2V and V2V Classification

CCH interval is set to 1000 μs (1 second). Both I2V and V2V communication type share the same medium. I2V means that from Infrastructure to Vehicles so it generally uses one way broadcasting way. Otherwise, V2V is the two way communication required contention based channel access. Among 8 high-priority safety applications, Traffic signal violation, Curve speed warning, Left turn assist and Stop sign assist use I2V communication. If we assume that the applications run on the lowest data rate 3Mbps, we can calculate overall time for I2V communication.

Message size can be obtained from Table IV. In order to calculate the $T_{tx}$, by equation (2), PSDU size is increased because of PSDU encapsulation (25 bytes for LLC/WSMP and 30 bytes for MAC layer same as 440 bits). If PHY layer also same data rate, the time for PHY layer $T_{phy}$ is

$$T_{phy} = T_{preamble} + T_{signal} + T_{pad}$$

where $T_{preamble}$ is time for TLCP preamble, $T_{signal}$ is time for SIGNAL, and $T_{pad}$ is time for Service/TAIL/PAD. Total PLCP overhead (the time for PHY layer $T_{phy}$) is in Table V.

TABLE VIII: APPLICATIONS USING I2V COMMUNICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>PSDU size in bits</th>
<th>Total time with 3 Mbps in μs</th>
<th>Total time with 6 Mbps in μs</th>
<th>Total time with 12 Mbps in μs</th>
<th>Total time with 27 Mbps in μs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic signal violation</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>373.6</td>
<td>186.7</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curve speed warning</td>
<td>1376</td>
<td>458.7</td>
<td>229.3</td>
<td>114.7</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left turn signal</td>
<td>1136</td>
<td>378.7</td>
<td>189.3</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop sign assist</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>338.7</td>
<td>169.3</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time for I2V</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table VIII shows the applications using I2V communication and their data size and channel occupation time for one packet transmission. Curve speed warning application sends a message packet just one time because it has 1 Hz frequency in 1000ms. Otherwise, Traffic signal violation, Left turn assist and Stop sign assist applications send a packet 10 times in every 100 ms because those applications have 10 Hz frequency and 100ms latency. When
we count all packet transmission time according to the applications’ frequency and latency, I2V applications using 3 Mbps data rate consume 13 ms of 1000 ms in total CCH interval. The rest of I2V one way broadcasting in CCH is dedicated for V2V communication which is contention based channel access.

C. V2V Communication in CCH

There are 6 applications using V2V communication among 8 high-priority safety applications. The message size and transmission time for one packet in 3~27Mbps data rate are list up in Table IX below. In case of V2V communication, we should consider medium status which is either idle or busy. We assume that the medium is always busy because of the upper limits in the worst case. Each application being faced with busy medium needs AIFS [AC] and random back-off before getting TXOP. Consequently, V2V application consumes AIFS [AC], random back-off, $T_{\text{phy}}$ and $T_{\text{tx}}$ for one packet transmission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>PSDU in bits</th>
<th>$T_{\text{tx}}$ (3Mbps) in µs</th>
<th>$T_{\text{tx}}$ (6Mbps) in µs</th>
<th>$T_{\text{tx}}$ (12Mbps) in µs</th>
<th>$T_{\text{tx}}$ (27Mbps) in µs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency brake lights</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>298.7</td>
<td>149.3</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-crash sensing</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>394.7</td>
<td>197.3</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collision warning</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>469.3</td>
<td>234.7</td>
<td>117.3</td>
<td>52.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left turn assist</td>
<td>1136</td>
<td>378.7</td>
<td>189.3</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane change warning</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop sign assist</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>338.7</td>
<td>169.3</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>37.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AIFS [AC] and random back-off interval can be calculated by equation (1) and (3)

$$\text{AIFS [AC]} = \text{SIFS time (32µs)} + \text{AIFS[N [AC] } \times a\text{SlotTime} = 32 + 2 \times 13 = 58µs$$

Random back-off = $[0\cdots \text{CW}_{\text{min}}[\text{AC}]] \times a\text{SlotTime} = \text{Avg. 2} \times 13 = 26µs$

Random back-off number should be chosen between 0 and 3. Therefore, we assume the average number 2 for the simple calculation. Lastly, V2V application consumes $T_{\text{tx}}$ and $T_{\text{phy}}$ according to the data rate as described in Table IX and Table V. The full picture of channel access time for one safety application in busy medium is described in Fig. 3.

D. The Upper Limits of Vehicles

As we analyze the each application’s medium occupation in both I2V and V2V communications, we now calculate the upper limit of vehicles which run 8 safety applications at the same time. When we set the data rate to the lowest data rate 3Mbps, I2V one-way broadcasting communication type applications spend 13 ms of CCH Interval is 1000ms. Therefore, V2V communication type applications share 987ms of CCH Interval as contention period. We only stay focus on the contention period for V2V communication because the time for I2V isn’t changed in spite of the increased vehicles’ number.

The applications having 10 Hz and 50 Hz frequency should transmit the messages in every 100ms latency at the same time. These events happen 10 times during the CCH interval. The application having 50 Hz frequency should transmit the message in every 20ms. It tries to send a message 50 times but 10 times are sent along with 10 Hz applications as described in Fig. 4.

When the data rate is 3Mbps, the total required time is 52.2ms which is the sum of messages in every 100ms and messages in 20ms. How the messages consume the CCH interval in detail is described in Fig. 6. Since the medium occupation for 1 vehicle with 8 high priority safety applications is fixed, we can conclude the upper limits of safety service vehicle (V limits):

$$V \lims = \frac{T_{\text{cch}}}{T_{\text{apps}}} \quad (5)$$

where $T_{\text{cch}}$ is the contention period in CCH interval and $T_{\text{apps}}$ is the times for the safety applications.

In case of 3Mbps data rate, $T_{\text{cch}}$ and $T_{\text{apps}}$ are like below,

$$T_{\text{cch}} = \text{CCH Interval (1000ms)} – \text{Time for I2V (13ms)} = 987 \text{ ms}$$

$$T_{\text{apps}} = \text{Messages in every 100ms (3.1ms × 10 times)} + \text{Messages in every 20ms (532µs × 40 times)} = 52.2\text{ms}$$

If we put the values of $T_{\text{cch}}$ and $T_{\text{apps}}$ into equation (5), the upper limits of safety service vehicles with 8 high priority applications are $V \lims = 987 / 52.2 = 18.90$

It means that 18 vehicles can run safety service without the
safety message collision probability in 3Mbps data rate VANETs.

![Fig. 6. Medium occupation in CCH](image)

V. ANALYSIS RESULTS IN VARIOUS ENVIRONMENTS

We assume the worst cases such as always busy medium and the lowest data rate for analyzing the upper limits because one safety message collision in VANETs may cause the critical damage to vehicles and drivers. When we consider the safety application’s range around 200 meters, 18 vehicles in 3Mbps data rate VANETs are fairly not enough number in congestion road condition. Therefore, we can suggest various approaches and analysis results in order to improve the upper limits.

A. Data Rates

VANETs standards provide the various data rates up to 27Mbps in 10MHz single CCH. Higher data rates can reduce the packet transmission time even in same PSDU size. Even though AIFS and Random back-off interval are not changed by the higher data rate, the reduced packet transmission time becomes the overall gain in the view point of channel usage. The mandatory support data rates are 3, 6, 12 and 27Mbps in 10MHz single CCH. When we apply the maximum data rate 27Mbps, the upper limits go to 54 vehicles from 18 vehicles in 3Mbps data rate. Fig. 7 shows the upper limits in various data rates.

![Fig. 7. The V limits in various data rates of single CCH](image)

B. Frame Aggregation

Frame aggregation has been adopted by the IEEE 802.11n amendments to reduce timing and header overheads. It assembles several frames into a single large frame for transmission. [8] When we apply this technique, the aggregated frame message of 100 ms latency safety applications can be described as Fig. 8. Since the frame aggregation uses only a single channel access, we can save the time and improve channel efficiency. It also leads to increase V limits in CCH as shown in Fig. 9.

![Fig. 8. Aggregated frame Message in every 100 ms, total time = 2.4 ms](image)

![Fig. 9. The V limits of aggregated frame message usage in CCH](image)

C. Common Message

We analyze that the biggest overhead of channel occupation is the repeated PHY, AIFS [AC] and random back-off due to separate transmission attempt by each safety application as shown in Fig. 4. If the vehicle has the central unit to control the all safety applications’ message transmission, the applications having same message frequency and latency can send the message at once through the central unit. For instance, 6 safety applications are trying to send the messages in 100ms latency and those applications require 6 trials of PHY, AIFS [AC], and random back off. Therefore, sending the message at once can reduce this repeated time to 1/6.

We also recognize that many Data elements are commonly used by 8 safety application while analyzing of elements usage in High-priority safety application listed in Table IV. When the central unit assembles the common message, the duplicated data elements are removed by common message.

![Fig. 10. Common Message in every 100ms, total time = 729.3 μs](image)

By transmitting the common message at once with 3Mbps data rate instead of 6 separate messages, the channel occupation time for V2V communication in every 100ms is reduced from 3.1ms in Fig. 4 to 729.3μs in Fig. 10. I2V communications also use common messages in the same way of V2V communication. The channel occupation time for
I2V communication in every 100ms is reduced from 13ms to 5.3ms in 3Mbps data rate. Those common messages distinctly increases V limits in CCH as shown in Fig. 11.

Fig. 11. The V limits of common message usage in CCH

D. Compressed Common Message

The last approach is compressing the message before transmitting it. If the central unit has the message compression functionality, we can reduce the message size. When we apply the median compression rate, the compressed message size can be reduced to 35% of original message [9]. As the message size is reduced by compression, application can shorten the message transmission time. Fig. 12 and Fig. 13 show the compressed common message of 100ms latency and Pre-crash sensing (PCS) message 20ms latency.

Fig. 12. Compressed common Message in every 100ms, total time = 344.5μs

Fig. 13. Compressed PCS Message in every 20ms, total time = 275.4μs

Even though AIFS [AC], back-off time and $T_{\text{phy}}$ are not changed by compression, $T_{\text{tx}}$ of compressed message becomes much smaller than $T_{\text{tx}}$ of non-compressed message. This compression technique increases V limits in CCH as shown in Fig. 14.

Fig. 14. The V limits of compressed message usage in CCH

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORDS

In this paper, we have analyzed the performance of WAVE Control Channels for public safety services in VANET. We propose the high data rate, the aggregated frame message, the common message and the compressed message. We confirm that proposed approaches improve the V limits. In spite of the improved vehicle number in VANETs, the number is still insufficient to cover the fluent communication in congestion road. C.L. Robinson [10] insists that the predictive coding can significantly reduce the channel load in vehicular safety application by avoiding the duplicated data and sending the data at minimum required update rate. The common message, the compressed message and the predictive coding need additional non-standard unit. Those non-standard approaches may have compatibility issues in VANET. The performance analysis presented in this paper will assist VANETs designers and future researchers who design their networks and handle the channel capacity by optimizing the data rate, channel and messages.

Future work can be directed towards further investigation of the random backoff counter and contention probability that we use constant number and always busy medium condition.
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